

Council for Learning Outside the Classroom Evidence Note

Learning Outside the Classroom: in natural environments



Two new (2022) reports published by Natural England confirm that high quality learning outside the classroom in natural environments can deliver a wide range of positive health and learning outcomes.

This note summarises some of the key findings for educational settings, and points to support available to take teaching practice safely and effectively beyond the classroom. We hope it is useful in providing both evidence and a social return on investment forecast to help make the case with school employers, governing bodies, and funders. For these reports, 'natural environments' was defined as any accessible spaces that include natural elements and that allow children to play, discover and learn; including those on the school estate, in local learning areas, or accessed through educational visits.

Summary of rapid evidence review

Links between natural environments, learning and health: evidence briefing.

Prof Justin Dillon & Dr Rebecca Lovell (2022).
Natural England Evidence Information Note (EIN063)

[Download the report here](#)



The strength of the evidence base

- ✔ There is a substantial body of evidence that shows a positive link between learning in the natural environments and a very wide range of learning processes and outcomes, and health and wellbeing outcomes too.
- ✔ The quality and robustness of the research in this area has improved significantly over the last 10-15 years.
- ✔ The majority of evidence comes from studies involving school students and their teachers.
- ✔ Despite the very wide range of different studies and approaches, the evidence is almost universally positive.

The benefits of learning outside the classroom in natural environments

High quality learning outside the classroom in natural environments can deliver a very wide range of outcomes and co-benefits that support teaching and learning in educational settings.

The evidence, including several systematic reviews, demonstrates positive associations between different teaching and learning approaches in natural environments and a wide range of learning processes and outcomes.

Even the presence of natural environments in or around homes and educational settings are also associated with positive learning, behavioural, emotional, and health outcomes. (Nature connection may play a significant part in moderating learning and behavioural outcomes [12].)

“ The weight of available evidence suggests that learning that takes place in the natural environment results in or is associated with a range of positive outcomes for school children, and should, therefore, be supported [1, 2, 4]. ”

Examples of impact on specific outcomes:

Attendance

School-based learning in the natural environment can improve attendance rates [22].

Social and behavioural

There is some evidence of an association with positive social competencies such as self-esteem, self-confidence and trusting relationships [6], and children attending a special needs school reported a positive impact on behaviour sustained over two months [4].

Achievement

School students engaged in learning in natural environments had higher achievement (in comparison to their peers or projected attainment) in reading, mathematics, science and social studies; enhanced progress in physical education and drama, and a greater motivation for studying science; and high levels of nature connectedness in 10-11 year olds was associated with higher grades in English [1-10].

Motivation/engagement with learning

Regular learning outside the classroom is linked to greater intrinsic motivation. [7]

Social capital

Among both adults and children, learning in natural environments has been associated with building social capital, fostering pride, belonging, and community involvement [1,4,15].

Affective and cognitive growth

A systematic review found that environmental education in early years was associated with affective and cognitive growth [12]. Other studies report a range of positive outcomes including advanced motor skills, higher rates of physical activity, positive play behaviours, a range of observed developmental outcomes and states of good mental health and social-emotional development [16,17,18,19,20,21].

Pro-environmental behaviours

A substantial number of studies point to the role of nature connectedness in the development of pro-environmental behaviours [10]. One environmental education study reported that 69% of the variance seen in the improvement in environmental behaviour was explained by connectedness to nature and only 2% was explained by environmental knowledge [10].

Physical health

Relatively few studies in educational settings have reported on physical or physiological health outcomes yet, but time spent outdoors has been linked to outcomes such as improved cardio-respiratory health [37].

Physical activity

Systematic reviews report that total time spent outdoors during childhood (including the school day) is associated with higher levels of physical activity, compared to other settings [36, 37]. There is also evidence for 'nature based activities' (in a range of settings, from school grounds to forests) being associated with higher rates of activity than that of other settings [13] and higher intensities of physical activity compared to control settings [35]. The link between outdoor play and learning and higher amounts and intensities of activity operates through a number of pathways [42]. These include: the affordances of more complex environments, children's motivation and interest to use these settings, and higher levels of reported enjoyment [42].

Mental health

Relatively few studies have explored the mental health outcomes of learning in natural environments. However, contact with nature, including through educational activities, is positively associated with better mental health outcomes in children and teenagers [43, 44]. One review found the most consistent relationships were with resilience, stress and overall mental health [44]. Other studies reported improvements in emotional well-being, self-esteem, and depression [44]. 'Immersive nature experiences', including activities such as adventure therapy, outdoor residential experiences, and other education outside the classroom, have been linked to improved self-esteem and to increased self-efficacy compared to controls [14].



“ In some cases, the evidence suggests that learning in the natural environment is more effective than learning inside school [4] at least in the short term. ”

Barriers and enablers

- ✓ Longer term, whole school and 'progressive' experiences tend to result in the greatest benefits, and students with lower predicted achievement tend to make the greatest progress [4,9].
- ✓ Embedding learning outside the classroom in natural environments into teaching practice is supported when delivery is tailored to meet individual school needs, has senior leadership support, is regularly monitored and evaluated [9] and when teacher knowledge, confidence and skills are developed [53].
- ✓ Natural environments, including those in school grounds, will not be used unless they meet school needs and staff are confident in their use [48].

Types of natural environments

There is currently no assessment of the relative importance of different types of natural environments on learning outcomes.



Woods and forests were associated with academic, social and personal skills, increases in confidence and self-esteem, and improvements in physical skills [6].



Greener school environments were linked with better motor skills [14], psychological restoration [27], and rates of physical activity [28].



Green and blue public spaces were positively associated with a range of behavioural indicators [24] and with cognitive development [25] in school children.

Addressing Health and Learning Inequalities

The relationship between learning outside the classroom in natural environments and educational and health outcomes varies with socio-demographic characteristics.

- ✓ Students from lower income and/or ethnically diverse communities are far less likely to have the opportunity to play and learn in natural environments either at home or with their school [1,30,34].
- ✓ Students from these communities are the most likely to experience health and learning inequalities, but are the most likely to benefit from learning outside the classroom opportunities [51].
- ✓ Learning in natural environments may also be of particular benefit to specific groups of students, including those suffering mental distress, with low self-perceived social and personal skills [30,31], who have a diagnosis of autism [3] or with other special needs [4].

Strength of the evidence

- ✓ Much of the evidence is drawn from small studies with no control groups or accounting for multiple sources of potential bias [5]. Nevertheless, many of these studies have a sound theoretical base and use robust research techniques resulting in findings that may be generalisable.
- ✓ There is now a need to develop the evidence with particular groups of students and on the relative impact of different types of learning outside the classroom in natural environments.

Cost effectiveness

- ✓ It is clear that learning outside the classroom can be delivered in low-cost ways and result in a range of positive health and learning outcomes [53]. However, the evidence review confirmed that there are few studies that assess the cost-effectiveness of learning in the natural environment [1, 54]. So, see findings of the new report below.

Summary of study looking at social return on investment

A study of learning outside the classroom in the natural environment in Primary School settings to provide a forecast of social value

Author: Social Value Business 2022

Natural England Evidence Information Note (NECR442)

[Download the report here](#)



Background

This report shares the results of a study to explore whether it is feasible to establish a forecast of social return on investment (SROI) for learning outside the classroom in natural environments. A SROI study is a way of accounting for value which requires a mix of information including qualitative, quantitative, and financial. The SROI framework can be a useful tool to inform policy and decision making as it accounts for the wider social benefits as well as financial return on investment.

This report echoed the evidence review by concluding that there is a wealth of evidence on the benefits and the practicalities of learning outside the classroom in natural environments.

However, school practice in this area is very varied (with many different approaches, widely differing learning goals, and in diverse locations) and there is no consistency in how impact is assessed. So, the authors applied a recognised methodology to explore and report on the direct, indirect, and broader values of learning outside the classroom in the natural environments, and hence to the benefits for students, staff, and the wider community.

A sample of 6 primary schools (in England) who had already embedded learning outside the classroom into their practice were selected to help create a 'value map' and a Social Return On Investment (SROI) assessment (an assessment of value that includes but is wider than financial return on investment). The range of stakeholders involved in developing these included students, school staff, local authorities, parents, and grant funders.

The report provides an overview of the methodology used, a simple theory of change, a case study from a participating school, and an explanation of how the value map was used to forecast a figure for SROI.

Headline finding

The forecast SROI for schools who have embedded learning outside the classroom in natural environments as a whole school approach is £4.32 for every £1 invested.

The range within which this forecast is made, the so-called sensitivity analysis, shows a range between £2.87 and £4.70 for every £1 invested.



It is important to note that this is a SROI forecast for schools where they have already benefitted from having embedded learning outside the classroom practice as part of their whole school approach. (For example, in schools who have been awarded the Learning Outside the Classroom Mark). So this is not a SROI forecast for one-off learning outside the classroom in natural environment interventions or activities.

This SROI figure is likely to be an underestimate due to the consideration of: lack of attribution to concurrent multiple benefits, a reticence to ascribe benefits where these are hard to attribute to one practice, and consideration of likely outcomes in the absence of this learning practice.

Feedback from the schools...

The report cites the following narratives from the schools:

- “ That learning outside the classroom in natural environments offers children the opportunity to increase their knowledge of themselves, and their self-awareness. This is followed by an increased sense of responsibility towards others, increased confidence, and high levels of enjoyment and engagement in learning. Interestingly, an emerging outcome was the opportunity for increased peer work across the age groups in most of the schools, this could range from recycling to poetry writing. Schools spoke of the role modelling opportunities this afforded older children, whilst the younger children completed tasks side by side with the older children increasing their sense of responsibility.
- “ That schools were able to provide valuable insight into the connection between children's experience of learning outside the classroom in natural environments and subsequent attainment in the classroom.
- “ That staff are very enthusiastic about learning outside the classroom in natural environments, and this appears to be driven by the positive impact it has on the children. When asked directly to rate the impact of learning outside the classroom in natural environments on staff themselves all the responses were very strong, with the majority of schools scoring the maximum for positive impact across all key areas. Although this is very positive, it was harder to get a clear understanding from schools as to why they thought this was the case. This was in contrast to pupil outcomes where there were a wide range of outcomes, examples, and evidence that all schools could provide.
- “ What has become clear through the consultation is that teachers and support staff value learning in natural environments as a crucial way to deliver both cognitive and non-cognitive learning for children. It allows for opportunities to consolidate key curriculum themes, Maths, and English skills, as well as offering freedom for children to create worlds, and learning opportunities of their own. One school summed up the overall difference learning outside the classroom in natural environments makes to both pupils and staff as: “A positive win-win situation. Children like leaning outside as nature is unpredictable, making the children curious as to what they will see, and what they will learn. Learning Outside the Classroom in natural environments is empowering and joyful for all involved”

“ A positive win-win situation. Children like leaning outside as nature is unpredictable, making the children curious as to what they will see, and what they will learn. It is empowering and joyful for all involved. ”

Conclusion

Both reports converge on the same conclusion about the diversity and value of the benefits of learning outside the classroom in natural environments to educational settings, teachers and students.

Leadership support and developing teachers' confidence and knowledge in taking learning beyond the classroom appear to be critical.

A SROI ratio of £4.32 for every £1 invested is forecast for schools who have embedded learning outside the classroom in natural environments are part of their practice, and this is likely to be an underestimate.

The strength of evidence on the positive health and learning outcomes, and confirmation of the positive social return on investment, suggest that adopting learning outside the classroom in educational settings should be used to help address health and learning inequalities.

The UK Government's strategy for Sustainability and Climate Change Education is likely to provide an opportunity for longitudinal research into the impact of national policy on 'greening' the school estate and development of environmental behaviours. It is widely accepted that education and health are intimately linked, so future research priorities include the need to develop our understanding of the links between learning outside the classroom in natural environments and both educational and health outcomes in the same study.



Want to start or develop your learning outside the classroom in natural environments?

For help to develop your teaching and learning beyond the classroom, including support from high-quality providers of learning outside the classroom, visit the Council for Learning Outside the Classroom website.

www.lotc.org.uk

Thanks to...

All the schools and stakeholders that have been involved and given their time to contribute to this research.

References

1. Dillon, J., & Dickie, I. (2012). Learning in the natural environment: Review of social and economic benefits and barriers. Natural England.
2. Rickinson, M., Dillon, J., Teamey, K., Morris, M., Choi, M. Y., Sanders, D., & Benefield, P. (2004). A review of research on outdoor learning. Field Studies Council.
3. Blakesley, D., Rickinson, M., & Dillon, J. (2012). Benefits of engagement with the natural environment for children with autism - Review of the evidence. Report commissioned by Natural England. Natural England.
4. Fiennes, C., Oliver, E., Dickson, K., Escobar, D., Romans, A., & Oliver, S. (2015). The existing evidence-base about the effectiveness of outdoor learning. Institute of Outdoor Learning.
5. Ohly, H., Gentry, S., Wigglesworth, R., Bethel, A., Lovell, R., & Garside, R. (2016). A systematic review of the health and well-being impacts of school gardening: synthesis of quantitative and qualitative evidence. BMC Public Health, 16(1), 1–36.
6. Becker, C., Lauterbach, G., Spengler, S., Dettweiler, U., & Mess, F. (2017). Effects of regular classes in outdoor education settings: A systematic review on students' learning, social and health dimensions. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14(5), 485. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14050485>
7. Bølling, M., Otte, C. R., Elsborg, P., Nielsen, G., & Bentsen, P. (2018). The association between education outside the classroom and students' school motivation: Results from a one-school-year quasi-experiment. International Journal of Educational Research, 89, 22–35.
8. Ellinger, J., Mess, F., Blaschke, S., & Mall, C. (2022). Health-related quality of life, motivational regulation and basic psychological need satisfaction in education outside the classroom: an explorative longitudinal pilot study. BMC Public Health, 22, 49. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-12450-9>
9. Harris, M. A. (2021). Growing among trees: A 12-month process evaluation of school based outdoor learning interventions. Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14729679.2021.2001758>
10. Otto, S., & Pensini, P. (2017). Nature-based environmental education of children: Environmental knowledge and connectedness to nature, together, are related to ecological behaviour. Global Environmental Change, 47, 88–94.
11. Kuo, M., Barnes, M., & Jordan, C. (2019). Do experiences with nature promote learning? Converging evidence of a cause-and-effect relationship. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 305. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00305>
12. Ardoin, N. M., & Bowers, A. W. (2020). Early childhood environmental education: A systematic review of the research literature. Educational Research Review, 31, 100353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100353>
13. Miller, N. C., Kumar, S., Pearce, K. L., & Baldock, K. L. (2021). The outcomes of nature-based learning for primary school aged children: a systematic review of quantitative research. Environmental Education Research, 27(8), 1115–1140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2021.1921117>
14. Mygind, L., Kjeldsted, E., Hartmeyer, R. D., Mygind, E., Bølling, M., & Bentsen, P. (2019). Immersive nature-experiences as health promotion interventions for healthy, vulnerable, and sick populations? A systematic review and appraisal of controlled studies. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 3389. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00943>
15. Lovell, R., O'Brien, L., & Owen, R. (2010). Review of the research evidence in relation to the role of trees and woods in formal education and learning. Forest Research.
16. Scholz, U., & Krombholz, H. (2007). A study of the physical performance ability of children from wood kindergartens and from regular kindergartens. Motorik Mar, 1, 17–22.

References (cont.)

17. Fjørtoft, I. (2004). Landscape as playscape: The effects of natural environments on children's play and motor development. *Children, Youth and Environments*, 14(2), 21–44.
18. Lovell, R. (2009). An evaluation of physical activity at Forest School. School of Clinical Sciences and Community Health. [Doctoral thesis]. The University of Edinburgh.
19. Ridgers, N. D., Knowles, Z. R., & Sayers, J. Encouraging play in the natural environment: a child-focused case study of Forest School. *Children's Geographies*, 10(1), 49–65.
20. O'Brien, L. (2009). Learning outdoors: The Forest School approach. *Education 3–13*, 37(1), 45–60.
21. Roe, J., & Aspinall, P. (2011). The restorative outcomes of forest school and conventional school in young people with good and poor behaviour. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 10(3), 205–212.
22. Price, A. (2015). Improving school attendance: can participation in outdoor learning influence attendance for young people with social, emotional and behavioural difficulties? *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*, 15(2), 110–122.
23. Mitchell, R., & Shaw, R. (2015). Health Impacts of the John Muir Award. University of Glasgow.
24. Amoly, E., Dadvand, P., Forns, J., López-Vicente, M., Basagaña, X., Julvez, J., ... & Sunyer, J. (2014). Green and blue spaces and behavioral development in Barcelona schoolchildren: the BREATHE project. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(12), 1351–1358.
25. Dadvand, P., Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J., Esnaola, M., Forns, J., Basagaña, X., Alvarez-Pedrerol, M., ... & Sunyer, J. (2015). Green spaces and cognitive development in primary schoolchildren. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(26), 7937–7942.
26. Wells, N. M. (2000). At home with nature: Effects of "greenness" on children's cognitive functioning. *Environment and Behavior*, 32(6), 775–795. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00139160021972793>
27. Bagot, K. L., Allen, F. C. L., & Toukhsati, S. (2015). Perceived restorativeness of children's school playground environments: Nature, playground features and play period experiences. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 41, 1–9.
28. Fjørtoft, I., Kristoffersen, B., & Sageie, J. (2009). Children in schoolyards: Tracking movement patterns and physical activity in schoolyards using global positioning system and heart rate monitoring. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 93(3–4), 210–217.
29. Richardson, M., Sheffield, D., Harvey, C., & Petronzi, D. (2016). The impact of children's connection to nature: A report for the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB). RSPB.
30. Scrutton, R. A. (2015). Outdoor adventure education for children in Scotland: quantifying the benefits. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*, 15(2), 123–137.
31. Roe, J., & Aspinall, P. (2011). The emotional affordances of forest settings: An investigation in boys with extreme behavioural problems. *Landscape Research*, 36(5), 535–552.
32. Warren, K., Roberts, N. S., Breunig, M., & Alvarez, M. A. G. (2014). Social justice in outdoor experiential education: A state of knowledge review. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 37(1), 89–103.
33. Gustafsson, P. E., Szczepanski, A., Nelson, N., & Gustafsson, P. A. (2012). Effects of an outdoor education intervention on the mental health of schoolchildren. *Journal of Adventure Education & Outdoor Learning*, 12(1), 63–79.
34. Natural England. (2016). Monitor of engagement with the natural environment: A pilot to develop an indicator of visits to the natural environment by children. NECR 208. Natural England.
35. Mygind, L., Kurtzhals, M., Nowell, C., Melby, P. S., Stevenson, M. P., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Lum, J. A. G., Flensburg-Madsen, T., Bentsen, P., & Enticott, P. G. (2021). Landscapes of becoming social: A systematic review of evidence for associations and pathways between interactions with nature and socioemotional development in children. *Environment International*, 146, 106238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106238>
36. Bingham, D. D., Costa, S., Hinkley, T., Shire, K. A., Clemes, S. A., & Barber, S. E. (2016). Physical activity during the early years: A systematic review of correlates and determinants. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 51, 384–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.04.022>
37. Gray, C., Gibbons, R., Larouche, R., Sandseter, E. B. H., Bienenstock, A., Brussoni, M., Chabot, G., Herrington, S., Janssen, I., Pickett, W., Power, M., Stanger, N., Sampson, M., & Tremblay, M. S. (2015). What is the relationship between outdoor time and physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and physical fitness in children? A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12, 6455–6474. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120606455>
38. Truelove, S., Bruijns, B. A., Vanderloo, L. M., O'Brien, K. T., Johnson, A. M., & Tucker, P. (2018). Physical activity and sedentary time during childcare outdoor play sessions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 108, 74–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2017.12.022>
39. Dankiw, K. A., Tsiros, M. D., Baldock, K. L., & Kumar, S. (2020). The impacts of unstructured nature play on health in early childhood development: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE* 15, e0229006. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229006>
40. Mnich, C., Weyland, S., Jekauc, D., & Schipperijn, J. (2019). Psychosocial and physiological health outcomes of green exercise in children and adolescents—A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16, 4266. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214266>

References (cont.)

41. Brussoni, M., Gibbons, R., Gray, C., Ishikawa, T., Sandseter, E. B. H., Bienenstock, A., Chabot, G., Fuselli, P., Herrington, S., Janssen, I., Pickett, W., Power, M., Stanger, N., Sampson, M., & Tremblay, M. S. (2015). What is the relationship between risky outdoor play and health in children? A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12, 6423–6454. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120606423>
42. Herrington, S., & Brussoni, M. (2015). Beyond physical activity: The importance of play and nature-based play spaces for children's health and development. *Current Obesity Reports*, 4, 477–483. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-015-0179-2>
43. Davis, Z., Guhn, M., Jarvis, I., Jerrett, M., Nesbitt, L., Oberlander, T., Sbihi, H., Su, J., & van den Bosch, M. (2021). The association between natural environments and childhood mental health and development: A systematic review and assessment of different exposure measurements. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 235, 113767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113767>
44. Tillmann, S., Tobin, D., Avison, W., & Gilliland, J. (2018). Mental health benefits of interactions with nature in children and teenagers: a systematic review. *Journal of Epidemiological Community Health*, 72, 958–966. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2018-210436>
45. Bølling, M., Mygind, E., Mygind, L., Bentsen, P., & Elsborg, P. (2021). The association between education outside the classroom and physical activity: Differences attributable to the type of space? *Children*, 8, 486. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children8060486>
46. Schneller, M. B., Duncan, S., Schipperijn, J., Nielsen, G., Mygind, E., & Bentsen, P. (2017). Are children participating in a quasi-experimental education outside the classroom intervention more physically active? *BMC Public Health*, 17, 523. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4430-5>
47. Roberts, A., Hinds, J., & Camic, P. M. (2020). Nature activities and wellbeing in children and young people: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*, 20, 298–318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14729679.2019.1660195>
48. Mårtensson, F., Jansson, M., Johansson, M., Raustorp, A., Kylin, M., & Boldemann, C. (2014). The role of greenery for physical activity play at school grounds. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 13(1), 103–113.
49. Dillon, J., Rickinson, M., Teamey, K., Morris, M., Choi, M. Y., Sanders, D., & Benefield, P. (2006). The value of outdoor learning: evidence from research in the UK and elsewhere. *School Science Review*, 87(320), 107–111.
50. van Dijk-Wesselius, J. E., van Den Berg, A. E., Maas, J., & Hovinga, D. (2020). Green schoolyards as outdoor learning environments: Barriers and solutions as experienced by primary school teachers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 2919. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02919>
51. Oberle, E., Zeni, M., Munday, F., & Brussoni, M. (2021). Support factors and barriers for outdoor learning in elementary schools: A systemic perspective. *American Journal of Health Education*, 52(5), 251–265. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19325037.2021.1955232>
52. Natural England (2021). The children's people and nature survey for England: Summer Holidays 2021 (Official Statistics). <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/the-childrens-people-and-nature-survey-for-england-summer-holidays-2021-official-statistics/the-childrens-people-and-nature-survey-for-england-summer-holidays-2021-official-statistics>
53. Waite, S. et al. (2016). Natural Connections Demonstration Project (NCDP) Final Report. Natural England.
54. Social Value Business 2022. Social & economic benefits of learning in natural environments: A study of learning outside the classroom in natural environments (LINE) in primary school settings to provide a forecast of social value. NECR442. Natural England

